Flat bands, electron interactions, and magnetic order in magic-angle mono-trilayer graphene
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Starting with twisted bilayer graphene, graphene-based moiré materials have recently been established as a new platform for studying strong electron correlations. In this paper, we study twisted graphene monolayers on trilayer graphene and demonstrate that this system can host flat bands when the twist angle is close to the magic angle of 1.16°. When monolayer graphene is twisted on ABA trilayer graphene, the flat bands are not isolated, but are intersected by a Dirac cone with a large Fermi velocity. In contrast, graphene twisted on ABC trilayer graphene (denoted AABC) exhibits a gap between flat and remote bands. Since ABC trilayer graphene and twisted bilayer graphene are known to host broken-symmetry phases, we further investigate the ostensibly similar magic-angle AABC system. We study the effect of electron-electron interactions in AABC using both Hartree theory and an atomic Hubbard theory to calculate the magnetic phase diagram as a function of doping, twist angle, and perpendicular electric field. Our analysis reveals a rich variety of magnetic orderings, including ferromagnetism and ferrimagnetism, and demonstrates that a perpendicular electric field makes AABC more susceptible to magnetic ordering.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The observation of strong correlation phenomena in graphene-based moiré materials [1–5] has driven efforts to understand their electronic structure and behavior. A key prerequisite for the emergence of correlated states is flat electronic bands that give rise to a high density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level. The total energy of electrons in flat bands is dominated by the contribution from electron-electron interactions [6–8], which favors states that break symmetries of the Hamiltonian, opening gaps at the Fermi level to lower the total energy of the electrons. In moiré materials, it is possible to “engineer” a high DOS at the Fermi energy through tuning the relative twist angle to values where very flat electronic bands emerge.

In principle, the space of graphitic moiré systems is very large, but so far experimental studies have focused on five systems: twisted bilayer graphene (tBLG) [9–30], twisted double bilayer graphene (tDBLG) composed of two AB-stacked bilayers [31–37], ABC trilayer graphene aligned with hexagonal boron nitride (ABC-hBN) [38–40], twisted mono-bilayer graphene (AtAB) [41–43], and twisted trilayer graphene (tTLG) [44–47]. Experimentally, all these systems have been found to exhibit correlated insulator states. Of particular interest are tBLG and tTLG (with an alternating twist angle between each sheet [45], [46], and [47]) because—in addition to correlated insulator states—robust superconductivity has been observed in these systems.

All of these systems have been predicted to feature flat electronic bands, which is a good indicator for possible strong correlations (tBLG [48–65], tDBLG [66–72], AtAB [73–76], tTLG [75–80], and ABC-hBN [38–40]). In both tBLG [81–85] and tTLG [80], long-ranged electron-electron interactions lead to an additional enhancement of the DOS, which increases the robustness of electronic correlations and could be one reason why robust superconductivity is observed in these materials [86,87]. In contrast, in tBLG and monobilayer graphene, electric fields are required to further flatten the electronic bands and increase the DOS at the Fermi level [31–35,41–43]. Therefore, when investigating new graphitic moiré systems, it is important to investigate both the effect of electron-electron interactions on the band structure in the normal state and the response to external fields.

In this paper, we study the properties of twisted mono-trilayer graphene, which consists of an untwisted graphene trilayer and a graphene monolayer that are twisted relative to each other. For the trilayer, we investigate both ABC and ABA stacking orders, which are both experimentally accessible. For twisted mono-ABC trilayer graphene (de-noted AABC, following the naming convention of Ref. [88]), a set of four isolated flat bands emerges at the Fermi level, while in twisted mono-ABA trilayer graphene (de-noted AtABA) the four flat bands are not isolated, but are

*These authors contributed equally to this work.
FIG. 1. Tight-binding band structure of AtABA and AtABC at three twist angles: 2.0°, 1.47°, and the magic angle of 1.16°. Additional band structures for other twist angles are shown in Appendix B.

intersected by a Dirac cone with a large Fermi velocity. In contrast to tBLG [81–85] and tTLG [80], long-ranged Hartree interactions have little effect on the band structure. We find that short-ranged Hubbard interactions give rise to a rich magnetic phase diagram as a function of twist angle, doping level, and perpendicular electric field that features competing antiferromagnetic and ferrimagnetic orderings.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Atomic structure

As AtABC and AtABA only contain a single twist angle, the approach of Ref. [51], initially developed for tBLG, can be used to generate commensurate moiré unit cells for monolayer systems (see Appendix A for details). We relax these structures using classical force fields to determine the equilibrium positions of the atoms. Specifically, we employ the adaptive intermolecular reactive empirical bond order–Morse (AIREBO-Morse) [89] potential for intralayer interactions and the Kolmogorov-Crespi [90] potential for interlayer interactions, as implemented in Large-Scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS) [91]. Further details can be found in Appendix A and in Ref. [72].

B. Electronic structure

We first calculate the electronic band structure of AtABC and AtABA at different twist angles using an atomistic tight-binding approach: see Fig. 1 and Appendix B for details of the calculation. For both systems, we find that a set of extremely flat electronic bands emerge as the twist angle approaches the magic angle of 1.16° [92].

At twist angles larger than the magic angle (2.0° and 1.47°), the band structure of AtABA [in Fig. 1 (top panels)] exhibits a set of four bands with a bandwidth of the order of 100 meV. Two of these form a Dirac cone at the \( K \) point, while the other two have a parabolic dispersion near \( K \). At the magic angle of 1.16° (and also at smaller twist angles) the bands no longer form a Dirac cone. The low-energy bands in AtABA are not isolated in energy from the remote bands because they are intersected by a pair of linear bands whose Fermi velocity is similar to that of monolayer graphene [93]. These bands form a second Dirac cone at \( K \) which exhibits a small gap of \( \sim 1–5 \text{ meV} \).

Additional insight can be gained by comparing the band structure of AtABA with that of the constituent ABA trilayer. The latter system features a set of parabolic bands which are also intersected by a Dirac cone [94]. This suggests that the addition of the twisted graphene monolayer on top of the ABA trilayer induces the “flat” Dirac cone (whose Dirac point lies slightly higher in energy than that of the dispersive Dirac cone) and also modifies the bandwidth of the parabolic bands. Finally, it is also interesting to note that the band structure of AtABA is quite similar to that of twisted trilayer graphene in which the middle layer of an AAA-stacked trilayer is twisted relative to the outer layers [75–80].

Figure 1 (bottom panels) also shows the band structure of AtABC as a function of twist angle. For this system we also
find a set of four flat bands near the Fermi level. Although these bands look qualitatively similar to those of AtABA, there are some important differences. As in AtABA, the low-energy electronic structure of AtABC has one pair of bands that form a Dirac cone at \( K \) for twist angles larger than the magic angle. The other pair of bands, however, now has a cubic dispersion near \( K \), and there is no additional Dirac cone that intersects these bands, which are entirely separated from the remote bands in this system near the magic angle.

This difference in the power law of the dispersion has important consequences for the DOS. In Fig. 2, we show the DOS of the flat bands of AtABC, AtAB, and tBLG at a twist angle of 2.0°. The zero of energy is set to the Fermi level at charge neutrality for each system, and the zero in the DOS is at the bottom of the y axis.

C. Electron-electron interactions

Based on the tight-binding calculations, we have identified AtABC as a promising candidate for hosting strongly correlated electrons in isolated flat bands. We therefore study the effect of electron-electron interactions in this system. To capture the effect of long-ranged Coulomb interactions, we carry out self-consistent atomistic Hartree theory calculations at integer doping levels per moiré unit cell. However, in contrast to tBLG and tTLG, we find that such interactions have a negligible effect on the electronic band structure of AtABC; see Appendix D.

This can be understood by analyzing the spatial character of the wave functions at different points in the first Brillouin zone. In tBLG [74] and tTLG [80], it was shown that there is a strong correlation between a state’s position in k-space and its localization in real space. For example, the states at the edge of the hexagonal Brillouin zone are localized on the AA regions, while states at the center of the Brillouin zone are localized on the AB regions. When the occupancy of these states changes due to electron or hole doping a highly inhomogeneous charge density is induced, which in turn results in a strong Hartree potential. In contrast, we do not observe a similar correlation between k-space position and real-space localization of states in AtABC and as a consequence the charge density induced by doping is relatively uniform resulting in a much weaker Hartree potential. This result is consistent with other Hartree calculations of moiré materials containing untwisted graphene layers [96].

In the absence of significant Hartree interactions, we next consider the effect of exchange interactions. It is well known that the exchange interaction should be screened [97], which reduces its strength and modifies its spatial form. In moiré materials, the presence of flat bands greatly enhances the internal screening [98,99], and external screening arising from the presence of nearby metallic gates further suppresses long-ranged interactions [100]. As a consequence of screening, the range of the exchange interaction is significantly shorter than the moiré length scale, and we therefore employ an atomic Hubbard interaction for electrons in the carbon \( p_z \) orbitals [61–65,80,101] to calculate the interacting spin susceptibility using the random phase approximation (RPA) as a function of doping, twist angle, and value of the Hubbard parameter. This approach was also employed in Ref. [101] for tBLG, where excellent agreement between the experimental and theoretical phase diagram was found, and functional renormalization group calculations [102] have shown that the phase diagram is not sensitive to the range of interactions provided it is short. Therefore we are confident that this approach can reliably identify the onset of broken-symmetry phases in graphitic moiré systems.

From these RPA calculations, we identify the critical value of the Hubbard parameter \( U_c \) at which the susceptibility diverges [62,101]. If \( U_c \) is smaller than the physical value of the Hubbard parameter, we expect the system to undergo a phase transition into a magnetically ordered state whose spatial structure is determined by the leading eigenvector of the spin response function. In this paper, we use a Hubbard value of \( U = 4 \) eV, which has been shown to be a realistic value of the on-site Hubbard interaction of graphene [103,104]. Moreover, in Ref. [101], it was shown that \( U = 4 \) eV for tBLG yields good agreement with the available experimental data. For additional details about the method, see Appendix E and Ref. [62].

Figure 3 shows the structure of various low-energy magnetic states of AtABC at the magic angle of 1.16°. In each of the plots, we display a normalized eigenvector from the
FIG. 3. Layer-resolved line cuts of the magnetization for different magnetic instabilities (the y axis is the normalized eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue $\chi_0$) of AtABC along the diagonal of the moiré unit cell at the magic angle of $\theta = 1.16^\circ$. The local stacking sequence is shown at the bottom of each panel, where DW stands for the domain wall region of the moiré pattern. (a) Antiferromagnetic state with mild modulations on the moiré scale. (b) A state with ferromagnetic order in the twisted layers and ferrimagnetic order in the lower layers. (c) A state with modulated ferrimagnetic order in the twisted layers and relatively uniform antiferromagnetic order in the lower layers. (d) A state with modulated ferrimagnetic order in all layers.

Having described in detail the different types of magnetic states in AtABC, we now discuss the magnetic phase diagram as a function of twist angle and doping, denoted by $\nu$ for the number of additional electrons or holes per moiré unit cell, as shown in Fig. 4(a). Magnetic states with $U_c < U = 4$ eV are found for a range of doping levels and twist angles. When $U_c > U = 4$ eV, we hatch over the magnetic order to indicate that we do not expect it to occur. In Fig. 4(b) we plot the corresponding value of $U_c$ for each $\theta$-$\nu$ combination, and if $U_c > U = 4$ eV, we use a gray scale. The FM state is only
FIG. 4. (a) Magnetic phase diagram of AtABC as a function of twist angle $\theta$ and integer doping level $\nu$ per moiré unit cell. Blue corresponds to ferromagnetic order, orange corresponds to ferrimagnetic order, and red corresponds to antiferromagnetic order, examples of which can be seen in Fig. 3. Regions where the critical value of the Hubbard parameter is smaller than its physical value are hatched. (b) Critical interaction strength $U_c$ required for the onset of magnetic instabilities in AtABC as a function of twist angle $\theta$ and integer doping levels in the flat bands $\nu$.

found at the magic angle at charge neutrality or at slightly smaller twist angles for $\nu = -1$ (i.e., when one hole is added per moiré unit cell). Interestingly, the character of the FM state for $\nu = -1$ slowly transitions from purely FM to a mixture of FM and FIM as a function of the twist angle.

The other broken-symmetry states in the phase diagram are of FIM type and occur not only at $\nu = -1$ at and very close to the magic angle, but also for the electron-doped systems ($\nu = 1$ or $\nu = 2$) over a range of twist angles. In contrast, AFM order is never found in the phase diagram. While this type of order is the leading instability for a range of $\theta$-$\nu$ values, the corresponding critical values of the Hubbard parameter are always larger than the physical value ($U_c > U = 4$ eV), and therefore this order is not realized. This can be attributed to the fact that the AFM order is inherited from the parent ABC trilayer system, which has a high value of $U_c$ [105].

Having presented the band structure, effects of electron-electron interactions, and magnetic order of AtABC, a natural question to ask is: How promising is AtABC for the observation of strong correlation phenomena in comparison to other graphitic moiré materials? Among the graphene-based moiré materials that have been studied experimentally to date, only tBLG and tTLG exhibit robust superconductivity [10,47]. In contrast to AtABC, the long-ranged Coulomb interaction plays an important role in these systems and enlarges the size of the region in the $\theta$-$\nu$ phase diagram where broken-symmetry states occur [86,101]. Based on this empirical evidence, one could argue that moiré systems that do not contain any untwisted pairs of neighboring layers [77] are more promising candidates for the observation of strongly correlated phases than moiré materials that contain untwisted layers [106]. While this might be true in the absence of electric fields, recent reports suggest that magic-angle mono-bilayer (AtAB) graphene exhibits both correlated insulating states [41] and signatures of superconductivity when an electric field is applied perpendicular to the layers [42]. For comparison against AtABC, we have also calculated the phase diagram of AtAB; see Appendix E. Our analysis reveals that these systems exhibit qualitatively similar types of magnetic order, which suggests that AtABC may also be a promising candidate for the observation of strong correlation phenomena in the presence of applied electric fields.

FIG. 5. (a) Magnetic phase diagram of 1.16° AtABC as a function of potential difference between adjacent layers $\Delta$ (which is proportional to a perpendicular electric field) and integer doping level $\nu$ per moiré unit cell. Blue corresponds to ferromagnetic order, orange corresponds to ferrimagnetic order, and red corresponds to antiferromagnetic order, examples of which can be seen in Fig. 3. Regions where the critical value of the Hubbard parameter is smaller than its physical value are hatched. (b) Critical interaction strength $U_c$ required for the onset of magnetic instabilities in 1.16° AtABC as a function of layer-dependent on-site potential difference $\Delta$ and integer doping levels in the flat bands $\nu$. 
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FIG. 6. Out-of-plane and in-plane relaxations of AtABC for a twist angle of $\theta = 0.73^\circ$. (a)–(d) Out-of-plane displacements for layers 1–4, respectively. (e)–(h) In-plane displacements for layers 1–4, respectively.

To put this prediction on a stronger footing, we calculated the interacting spin susceptibility of magic-angle ($1.16^\circ$) AtABC as a function of applied electric field and doping, as shown in Fig. 5. A perpendicular electric field introduces an additional on-site potential that is approximately constant within a layer but varies linearly between the layers. We define $\Delta$ as the potential difference between two adjacent layers, such that the on-site potential of layer $l$ (where $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$ with 1 corresponding to the twisted monolayer) is given by $-\Delta \cdot l$. Negative values of $\Delta$ mean that the potential energy of the electrons is lowest in the twisted monolayer. This potential difference is directly proportional to the applied electric field, with values of $|\Delta| = 30$ meV being well within experimental reach.

In the absence of a field, we only expect magnetic order to occur at charge neutrality or $\nu = -1$ at $1.16^\circ$ [see Fig. 4(a)]. Upon applying an electric field which lowers the energy of electrons in the twisted layers ($\Delta < 0$), we find that the system is more susceptible to magnetic ordering. Overall, we find mainly FIM order in electron-doped systems, but the hole-doped systems do not generally become more susceptible to magnetic ordering, with the exception of $\nu = -1$, $\Delta = -5$ meV [see Fig. 5(b)]. Therefore the electron-hole asymmetry of the magnetic phase diagram becomes more pronounced in an electric field which lowers the energy of the electrons in the twisted layers relative to the other layers. On the other hand, electric fields which increase the energy of the electrons in the twisted layers ($\Delta > 0$) generally cause the system to be less susceptible to magnetic ordering. For electron-doped $\Delta > 0$ systems in a small field, we find that FIM occurs at $\nu = 1, 2$, but for larger field strengths this magnetic order disappears. In experiments on magic-angle AtAB performed by Chen et al. [41], there were similar trends in terms of where the correlated insulating states occur in the space of doping level and electric
FIG. 7. Out-of-plane and in-plane relaxations of AtABA for a twist angle of $\theta = 0.73^\circ$. (a)–(d) Out-of-plane displacements for layers 1–4, respectively. (e)–(h) In-plane displacements for layers 1–4, respectively.

field. For an electric field which lowers the energy of the monolayer (relative to the AB bilayer), correlated insulating states were found at all integer electron-doping levels, similar to tBLG [41]. Whereas, for an electric field which lowers the energy of the AB-stacked bilayer (relative to the monolayer), a correlated insulating state was only observed at $\nu = 2$, similar to tDBLG [41]. As we have found that AtABC has a similar electronic structure and electron interactions to AtAB, this also suggests similarities in their broken-symmetry phases.

In summary, we have established magic-angle AtABC as a highly promising candidate for the observation of broken-symmetry phases, such as magnetic order. To test our predictions, we propose that transport experiments on magic-angle AtABC should be carried out to determine the phase diagram as a function of doping, with Hall measurements being able to discern if the phase has ferromagnetic character. Additionally, scanning tunneling microscopy can be used to verify the presence of an additional van Hove singularity in AtABC (compared with tBLG or AtAB) and also the predicted weakness of Hartree interactions. These measurement techniques can also identify correlated insulating states and superconductivity, if present. Promising future directions for theoretical work on AtABC are the study of its topological properties, possible superconductivity mechanisms, nematic ordering, and cascade instabilities.
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APPENDIX A: ATOMIC STRUCTURE OF MONO-TRILAYER SYSTEMS

We study commensurate moiré unit cells of monolayer graphene twisted on trilayer graphene comprising ABA or ABC stacking. The monolayer and trilayer are initially stacked directly on top of each other, and the top monolayer is rotated anticlockwise about an axis normal to the layers that passes through a carbon atom in the monolayer and the top layer of the trilayer. The moiré lattice vectors are \( \mathbf{R}_1 = n \mathbf{a}_1 + m \mathbf{a}_2 \) and \( \mathbf{R}_2 = -(n + m) \mathbf{a}_2 \) [50], where \( n \) and \( m \) are integers that specify the moiré unit cell in terms of the graphene lattice vectors \( \mathbf{a}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{a}_2 \) with the lattice constant of graphene being \( a_0 = 2.42 \text{ Å} \).

In Figs. 6 and 7, we display the relaxed structures of the studied mono-trilayer systems. We find that the relaxations of the graphene on trilayer graphene systems have some resemblance to twisted bilayer graphene (tBLG) [107–111] and also twisted double bilayer graphene (tDBLG) [72,112].

Both AtABC and AtAB exhibit similar lattice reconstruction, as shown in Figs. 6 and 7, with the relaxation features being analogous to tBLG and tDBLG [72]. In both of these structures, the twisted graphene layer (layer 1) and the graphene layer that is in contact with the twisted layer (layer 2) undergo the most significant relaxations. These two layers form a “tBLG unit,” and the relaxation effects of these layers in AtABC and AtABA can be seen to be analogous. Namely, there are peaks in the \( z \) displacement in the AA regions of these layers, owing to the unfavorable stacking order; the in-plane displacements have an opposite sense in each layer, such that the AB stacking order is increased relative to AA.

In layer 3 of both structures, the magnitudes of the \( z \) displacements are similar to those of layer 2. However, the in-plane displacements on layer 3 are significantly less pronounced than on layers 1 or 2. This indicates that the ABC and ABA stacking is not perfectly retained throughout the whole moiré unit cell. In layer 4 the in-plane and out-of-plane relaxations are similar to those of layer 3, but the magnitudes of the displacements are again even smaller.

APPENDIX B: ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE FROM TIGHT BINDING

The electronic structure was investigated with an atomistic tight-binding model, which is a reliable method for determining the electronic structure of graphene-based moiré materials. In the atomistic tight-binding formalism, the Hamiltonian is given by

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_i \epsilon_i \hat{c}_i^\dagger \hat{c}_i + \sum_{ij} [t(t_i - t_j) \hat{c}_i^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \text{H.c.}]. \tag{B1}
\]

Here, \( \hat{c}_i^\dagger \) and \( \hat{c}_i \) are the electron creation and annihilation operators, respectively, associated with the \( p_z \) orbital on atom \( i \). The \( \epsilon_i \) is the on-site energy of the \( p_z \) orbitals, which is used to fix the Fermi energy at 0 eV (and later to include Hartree interactions). The hopping parameters \( t(t_i - t_j) \) between atoms \( i \) and \( j \) (located at \( t_{ij} \)) are determined using the Slater-Koster rules

\[
t(t_i - t_j) = \gamma_1 e^{-|r_i - r_j|/\delta_0} \cos^2 \phi + \gamma_0 e^{-|r_i - r_j|/\delta_0} \sin^2 \phi. \tag{B2}
\]

Here, \( \gamma_1 = 0.48 \text{ eV} \) and \( \gamma_0 = -2.70 \text{ eV} \) correspond to \( \sigma \) and \( \pi \) hopping between \( p_z \) orbitals, respectively. The carbon-carbon bond length is \( a = 1.397 \text{ Å} \), and the interlayer separation parameter is taken to be \( d = 1.36 \delta_{00} \text{ Å} \). The decay parameter of the hoppings is set to \( \delta_0 = 0.184 \delta_{00} \). The angle dependence of hoppings is captured through \( \phi \), which is the angle corresponding between the \( z \) axis and the vector.
FIG. 10. Hartree theory band structures of AtAB and AtABC at 2.45°, 2.0°, and 1.7° for integer doping levels per moiré unit cell from $\nu = 3$ (blue) to $\nu = -3$ (red).

connecting atoms $i$ and $j$. Hoppings between carbon atoms whose distance is larger than the cutoff, 10 Å, are neglected.

In Fig. 8 we display additional band structures for AtABC, AtABA, AtAB, and AtAtA as a function of twist angle. There are similarities between the AtAB and AtABC band structures, as discussed in the main text. An analogy can also be drawn between AtABA and AtAtA, from the fact that both systems have a Dirac cone intersecting the flat moiré bands.

APPENDIX C: DENSITY OF STATES

To calculate the density of states, we employ a Gaussian broadening scheme with a $31 \times 31$ k-point Monkhorst-Pack grid which includes the Γ point. Broadening parameters of 32, 18, 14, and 10 meV were used for twist angles of 2.0°, 1.7°, 1.47°, and 1.3°, respectively. In Fig. 9 the latter twist angles are shown.

APPENDIX D: HARTREE INTERACTIONS

The long-ranged electron-electron interaction contribution to the Hamiltonian can be included through

$$
\varepsilon_i = \int d\mathbf{r} \phi_i^2(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) V_{H}(\mathbf{r}),
$$

where $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$ is the $p_z$ orbital of the carbon atoms and $V_{H}(\mathbf{r})$ is the Hartree potential. The Hartree potential is determined from the electron density $n(\mathbf{r})$ and the screened electron-electron interaction $W(\mathbf{r})$, as seen by

$$
V_{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r}' W(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [n(\mathbf{r}') - n_0(\mathbf{r}')],
$$

where $n_0(\mathbf{r})$ is a reference electron density of the uniform system. The electron density is determined through

$$
n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{nk} f_{nk} |\psi_{nk}(\mathbf{r})|^2,
$$

where $\psi_{nk}(\mathbf{r})$ is the Bloch eigenstate of the atomistic tight-binding model, with subscripts $n$ and $k$ denoting the band index and crystal momentum, respectively; $N_k$ is the number of $k$ points in the summation of the electron density, and $f_{nk} = 2\theta(\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_{nk})$ is the spin-degenerate occupancy of state $\psi_{nk}$ with eigenvalue $\varepsilon_{nk}$ (where $\varepsilon_F$ is the Fermi energy). Inserting the Bloch states in Eq. (D3) gives

$$
n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j} n_j \chi_j(\mathbf{r}),
$$

where $\chi_j(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \phi_j^2(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{R})$ with $\mathbf{R}$ denoting the moiré lattice vectors and the total number of electrons on the $j$th $p_z$ orbital in the unit cell is determined by $n_j = \sum_{nk} f_{nk} |c_{nk}|^2 / N_k$, with $c_{nk}$ denoting the coefficients of the eigenvectors of the tight-binding model.

The reference density is taken to be that of a uniform system, $n_0(\mathbf{r}) = \bar{n} \sum_j \chi_j(\mathbf{r})$, where $\bar{n}$ is the average of $n_j$ over all atoms in the unit cell, which is related to the filling per moiré unit cell $\nu$ through $\bar{n} = 1 + \nu / N$, where $N$ is the total...
number of atoms in a moiré unit cell [83]. This reference density is taken to prevent overcounting the intrinsic graphene Hartree contribution which should be included in the hopping parameters of Eq. (B1).

In experiments, there is often a metallic gate above and below the moiré material, with a hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) substrate separating the gates from moiré materials. These metallic gates add or remove electrons from moiré material and can also create electric fields across the system. These gates also screen the electron interactions in moiré material, and taking this effect into account has been shown to be important in tBLG [100]. Therefore we utilize a double metallic gate screened interaction

\[ W(R) = \frac{\varepsilon_s^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{bg}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{[R]^2 + (2m\xi)^2}}, \]  

(D5)

where \( \xi \) is the thickness of the hBN dielectric substrate, with dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_{bg} \), separating tDBLG from the metallic gate on each side [8,100,113]. We set \( \xi = 10 \) nm and the value of \( \varepsilon_{bg} = 4 \) for all calculations.

In our atomistic model, we neglect contributions to the electron density from overlapping \( p_z \) orbitals that do not belong to the same carbon atom, which is equivalent to treating \( \phi_2^2(r) \) as a delta function. Therefore we calculate the Hartree on-site energies using

\[ \varepsilon_i = \sum_j (n_j - \bar{n})W_{R_{ij}}, \]  

(D6)

where \( W_{R_{ij}} = W(R + i \tau_j - \tau_i) \). If \( R = 0 \) and \( i = j \), we set \( W_{0,ii} = U/\varepsilon_{bg} \) with \( U = 17 \) eV [103].

To obtain a self-consistent solution of the equations, we use a 8 × 8 k-point grid to sample the first Brillouin zone to converge the density in Eq. (D3), and we sum over an 11 × 11 supercell of moiré unit cells to converge the on-site energy of Eq. (D6). Linear mixing of the electron density is performed with a mixing parameter of 0.1 or less (i.e., the addition of 10% of the new potential to 90% of the potential.
interaction strength order, examples of which can be seen in Fig. 11. We have hatched out the regions where the values of magnetic order: Blue corresponds to ferromagnetic order, orange corresponds to ferrimagnetic order, and red corresponds to antiferromagnetic susceptibility tendencies of graphitic moiré systems, we calculate the spin iterations.

The lack of smearing causes states very close in energy to are sometimes needed to reach this convergence threshold as the material is metallic, smaller mixing values and more iterations within 100 iterations. For doping levels where the moiré material is metallic, smaller mixing values and more iterations converge to an accuracy of better than 0.1 meV per atom from the previous iteration). Typically, the Hartree potential converges to an accuracy of better than 0.1 meV per atom within 100 iterations. For doping levels where the moiré material is metallic, smaller mixing values and more iterations are sometimes needed to reach this convergence threshold as the lack of smearing causes states very close in energy to flick between being occupied and unoccupied in consecutive iterations.

In Fig. 10 we show the quasiparticle band structure for AtAB and AtABC for twist angles of 2.45°, 2.0°, and 1.7° at integer doping levels from v = 3 to v = −3. Overall, we find that the electronic structure is rather insensitive to the long-ranged electron-electron interactions. The scale of the Hartree potential is quite small (5–10 meV), and there is not a clean come from layer-dependent differences, rather than in-plane distortions. The changes in the band structure predominantly variations. Therefore we can safely neglect the long-ranged Hartree interactions and just use the tight-binding model for magnetic calculations.

APPENDIX E: RANDOM PHASE APPROXIMATION

Following Refs. [62,101] to analyze the magnetic ordering tendencies of graphitic moiré systems, we calculate the spin susceptibility \( \chi_{ij}(q, q_0) \) in its long-wavelength, static limit \( q = q_0 = 0 \):

\[
\hat{\chi} = \hat{\chi}(q = 0, q_0 = 0) = \frac{T}{N_k} \sum_{k,k_0} \hat{G}(k, k_0)c\hat{G}^T(k, k_0).
\]

(E1)

The Matsubara Green’s function reads \( \hat{G}(k, k_0) = [i k_0 - \hat{H}(k)]^{-1} \) with the noninteracting part of the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(k) \).

Since we approximate the interacting part of the Hamiltonian by a local Hubbard interaction, the renormalized interaction reads

\[
\hat{W} = \frac{U^2 \hat{\chi}}{1 + U \hat{\chi}}.
\]

(E2)

Employing Stoner’s criterion, we find an ordered state if the smallest eigenvalue \( \chi^0 \) of the matrix \( \hat{\chi} \) reaches \(-1/U\), or, vice versa, we can investigate the critical interaction strength \( U_c = -1/\chi^0 \) below which the system will go into an ordered state. The eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue \( \chi^0 \) is proportional to the system’s magnetization in its ordered state. The numerical evaluation procedure is identical to the one presented in Ref. [101]: We use \( N_{k_0} = 500 \) Matsubara frequencies and \( N_k = 24 \) momentum points at a temperature of \( T = 10^{-4} \) eV.

Similarly to AtABC, the leading magnetic instabilities found in AtAB graphene can be classified as modulated AFM order, modulated FM in the top two layers and FIM in the bottom layer, and two types of FIM order. The line cuts of the orderings for magic-angle AtAB can be found in Fig. 11.

In Fig. 12 we show the magnetic phase diagram of AtAB around the magic angle at integer doping levels in the flat bands. Similar to AtABC, we find that AtAB does not have as strong of a tendency to magnetic order as tBLG. Compared with AtABC graphene, the \( U_c \) is low mostly at \( v = 0 \) and \( v = -3 \) [Fig. 12(b)] with FM orders being more prominent in the magnetic phase diagram [Fig. 12(a)].
